# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CLARKSBURG DIVISION

:

STATE OF OHIO, et al.,

:

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO: 1:23-cv-00100

v.

Judge John Preston Bailey

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE

ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

:

Defendant.

# JOINT MOTION OF PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Plaintiff States of Ohio, Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the District of Columbia ("Plaintiff States") and Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association have negotiated and agreed to resolve all issues in this matter in accordance with the terms of the Proposed Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction attached to this filing as Exhibit A. Plaintiff States and Defendant respectfully request that this Court enter the attached Proposed Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction in resolution of this litigation between those parties. Co-Plaintiff United States of America has also reached a resolution with Defendant and will be making a separate filing with the Court.

Dated: May 30, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

DAVE YOST OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Erik Clark

Deputy Attorney General for Major Litigation

Jennifer L. Pratt Director of Major Litigation

Beth A. Finnerty Section Chief, Antitrust Section

# s/ William C. Becker

William C. Becker (*pro hac vice*) Principal Assistant Attorney General

# s/Steven Oldham

Steven Oldham (pro hac vice) Assistant Attorney General, Major Litigation

# s/ Edward J. Olszewski

Edward J. Olszewski (*pro hac vice*) Assistant Section Chief, Antitrust Section

## s/ Derek M. Whiddon

Derek M. Whiddon (*pro hac vice*) Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section

Office of the Ohio Attorney General 30 E. Broad St., 26th Floor Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 466-4328

Email: William.Becker@OhioAGO.gov Steven.Oldham@OhioAGO.gov Edward.Olszewski@OhioAGO.gov Derek.Whiddon@OhioAGO.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Ohio

## FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

JASON S. MIYARES ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA

KEVIN M. GALLAGHER Principal Deputy Solicitor General

STEVEN G. POPPS Deputy Attorney General Civil Division

/s/ Tyler T. Henry

TYLER T. HENRY (pro hac vice) Senior Assistant Attorney General and Manager Antitrust Unit

/s/ Jonathan M. Harrison II
JONATHAN M. HARRISON II (pro hac vice)
Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection Section

Office of the Virginia Attorney General 202 North 9th Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Phone: (804) 496-0485 THenry@oag.state.va.us JHarrison@oag.state.va.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia

## FOR PLAINTIFF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:

BRIAN SCHWALB ATTORNEY GENERAL

# /s/ Adam Gitlin

ADAM GITLIN, Chief, Antitrust and Nonprofit Enforcement Section (pro hac vice)
MEHREEN IMTIAZ, Assistant Attorney General (pro hac vice)

Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia 400 6th Street, N.W, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: 202-442-9864 (Gitlin) adam.gitlin@dc.gov mehreen.imtiaz@dc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff District of Columbia

# FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO

PHILIP J. WEISER ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Bryn Williams
BRYN WILLIAMS
First Assistant Attorney General (pro hac vice)
ELIZABETH W. HEREFORD
Assistant Attorney General (pro hac vice)

Colorado Department of Law Office of the Attorney General Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Telephone: (720) 508-6000

Email: Bryn.Williams@coag.gov Elizabeth.Hereford@coag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado

# FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS:

KWAME RAOUL, ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Elizabeth L. Maxeiner

ELIZABETH L. MAXEINER, Chief, Antitrust Bureau

Elizabeth L. Maxeiner, Chief, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*) Brian M. Yost, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*) Daniel R. Betancourt, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Illinois Attorney General 115 S. LaSalle St., Fl. 23 Chicago, IL 60603 Phone: (773) 790-7935 Elizabeth.maxeiner@ilag.gov Brian.yost@ilag.gov Daniel.betancourt@ilag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Illinois

#### FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MINNESOTA:

KEITH ELLISON ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/Elizabeth Odette

ELIZABETH ODETTE, Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division

James W. Canaday, Deputy Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division (*pro hac vice*) Elizabeth Odette, Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division (*pro hac vice*) Jon Woodruff, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division (*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Minnesota Attorney General 445 Minnesota St., Suite #1400 St. Paul, MN 55101 Phone: (651) 728-7208 (Odette) James.Canaday@ag.state.mn.us Elizabeth.Odette@ag.state.mn.us Jon.Woodruff@ag.state.mn.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Minnesota

# FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:

LYNN FITCH ATTORNEY GENERAL

Caleb.Pracht@ago.ms.gov

# s/ Caleb Pracht

Caleb Pracht (*pro hac vice*) Special Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division

Mississippi Office of the Attorney General 550 High Street P.O. Box 220 Jackson, MS 39205 Telephone: 601-359-4223

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Mississippi

## FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW YORK:

# LETITIA JAMES ATTORNEY GENERAL

Christopher D'Angelo Chief Deputy Attorney General, Economic Justice Division

## s/Elinor R. Hoffmann

Elinor R. Hoffmann (pro hac vice) Chief, Antitrust Bureau

# s/ Amy McFarlane

Amy McFarlane (*pro hac vice*) Deputy Chief, Antitrust Bureau

# s/Bryan Bloom

Bryan Bloom (pro hac vice)
Senior Enforcement Counsel, Antitrust Bureau

New York State Office of the Attorney General 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005

Telephone: (212) 416-8269 (Hoffmann) Email: Elinor.Hoffmann@ag.ny.gov Amy.McFarlane@ag.ny.gov Bryan.Bloom@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

# FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA:

JOSHUA H. STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA

/s/ Jonathan R. Marx
JASMINE MCGHEE\*
Senior Deputy Attorney General
JONATHAN R. MARX\*
Special Deputy Attorney General
KUNAL CHOKSI\*
Special Deputy Attorney General

North Carolina Department of Justice 114 W. Edenton St Raleigh, NC 27603 Telephone: (919) 716-8611 Email: jmarx@ncdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North Carolina

\*pro hac vice

## FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TENNESSEE:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER

/s/ J. David McDowell

J. DAVID MCDOWELL

Deputy, Consumer Protection Division (pro hac vice)

ETHAN BOWERS

Senior Assistant Attorney General (pro hac vice)

TYLER T. CORCORAN

Assistant Attorney General (pro hac vice)

Office of the Attorney General and Reporter

P.O. Box 20207

Nashville, TN 37202

Phone: (615) 741-8722

Email: David.McDowell@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Tennessee

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA AND AS LOCAL COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS STATE OF OHIO, COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND STATES OF COLORADO, ILLINOIS, MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, AND TENNESSEE:

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA ex rel. PATRICK MORRISEY, ATTORNEY GENERAL

#### /s/ Michael R. Williams

Michael R. Williams, Principal Deputy Solicitor General Douglas L. Davis, Sr. Assistant Attorney General Matthew M. Morrison, Assistant Attorney General

Office of the West Virginia Attorney General P.O. Box 1789 Charleston, WV 25326 Ph. (304) 558-8986 Fax. (304) 558-0184 Michael.R.Williams@wvago.gov Douglas.L.Davis@wvago.gov Matt.M.Morrison@wvago.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia and Local Counsel for Plaintiffs State of Ohio, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, and States of Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, and Tennessee

#### FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION:

/s/ Benjamin L. Bailey

Benjamin L. Bailey (WVSB No. 200) Christopher D. Smith (WVSB No. 13050)

**BAILEY & GLASSER LLP** 

209 Capitol Street

Charleston, WV 25302

Telephone: (304) 345-6555

Facsimile: (304) 342-1110

bbailey@baileyglasser.com

csmith@baileyglasser.com

Philip D. Bartz (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP 1155 F Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 (202) 508-6000 (phone)

(202) 508-6200 (fax)

Philip.bartz@bclplaw.com

Stephen M. Scannell (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP

211 North Broadway Street, Suite 3600

St. Louis, MO 63102

(314) 259-2516 (phone)

(314) 259-2020 (fax)

Stephen.scannell@bclplaw.com

Benjamin J. Hogan (WVSB No. 12997)

BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP

1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100

Denver, CO 80203-5451

(303) 866-7000 (phone)

(303) 866-0200 (fax)

Ben.hogan@bclplaw.com

Counsel for Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Douglas Davis, do hereby certify that on the 30th Day of May 2024, I filed the foregoing document titled *JOINT MOTION OF PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION* using the Court's CM/ECF system which will send notification to all counsel of record.

s/Douglas L. Davis

Douglas L. Davis Sr. Assistant Attorney General Office of the West Virginia Attorney General

Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia and Local Counsel for Plaintiffs State of Ohio, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, and States of Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, and Tennessee

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CLARKSBURG DIVISION

:

**STATE OF OHIO, et al.** : CASE NO: <u>1:23-CV-00100</u>

30 E. Broad St., 26th Floor : JUDGE <u>Bailey</u> Columbus, OH 43215 :

:

Plaintiffs, : EXHIBIT A TO JOINT MOTION OF

PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT

v. : NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC

: ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE : CONSENT JUDGMENT

ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION : AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

700 W. Washington Street P.O. Box 6222

Indianapolis, IN 46206-6222

:

Defendant.

EXHIBIT A TO JOINT MOTION OF PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT
JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CLARKSBURG DIVISION

STATE OF OHIO, STATE OF COLORADO, STATE OF ILLINOIS, STATE OF MINNESOTA, STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, STATE OF NEW YORK, STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF TENNESSEE, COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Civil No. 1:23-cv-100 Judge John Preston Bailey

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION,

Defendant.

#### [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States of Ohio, Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia; the Commonwealth of Virginia; the District of Columbia (collectively, "States"); and the United States of America have brought this action alleging violations of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et. seq, against Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association ("NCAA").

- 2. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States, through their respective Attorneys General, are duly authorized to bring suits for injunctive relief to enforce the Sherman Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 26.
- 3. WHEREAS Plaintiff United States of America is duly authorized to bring suits for injunctive relief to enforce the Sherman Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 4.
- 4. WHEREAS all parties consent to this venue and to the personal jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of this litigation, entry of the Consent Judgment, and any subsequent litigation to enforce its terms.
- 5. WHEREAS this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 15 U.S.C. § 4 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337(a), and in the case of plaintiff United States, 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 6. WHEREAS the NCAA's member institutions and conferences have adopted rules and regulations governing certain aspects of college sports.
- 7. WHEREAS NCAA Bylaw<sup>1</sup> 14.5.5.1, herein referred to as the Transfer Eligibility Rule, provides that certain transfer students shall not be eligible for intercollegiate competition in Division I until they have fulfilled an academic "year of residence" at their new institution, unless they qualify for a transfer exception or secure a waiver.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  All references to "Bylaws" or "NCAA Rules" are to the NCAA Division I 2023-24 Manual.

- 8. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule has unreasonably restrained competition for Division I student-athletes among schools and has prevented them from realizing the benefits of free and open competition for their athletic services.
- 9. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule yields few, if any, procompetitive benefits.
- 10. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that, as a direct result of the NCAA's conduct, Division I student-athletes and consumers of college athletics have suffered and continue to suffer antitrust injury due to the reduction in competition among member institutions for student-athletes' services.
- 11. WHEREAS Plaintiffs therefore allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule is an illegal agreement to restrain and suppress competition in the nationwide market for Division I student-athletes' labor in intercollegiate athletics, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 12. WHEREAS NCAA Bylaw 12.11.4.2, herein referred to as the Rule of Restitution, provides that, if a student-athlete obtains an injunction against the NCAA, and the student-athlete and his or her member institution conduct themselves in conformity with that injunction, the NCAA may nonetheless impose certain penalties on both the student-athlete and the member institution if the injunction is ultimately vacated, stayed, or reversed.
- 13. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Rule of Restitution deters member institutions from relying on court orders finding that the NCAA's rules are

anticompetitive (or otherwise illegal) and, therefore, deprives courts of the ability to grant effective relief from violations of state and federal law.

- 14. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that for injunctive relief prohibiting enforcement of the Transfer Eligibility Rule to be effective, the NCAA must also be enjoined from enforcing the Rule of Restitution to punish member institutions or student-athletes who immediately participate in intercollegiate competition following a transfer.
- 15. WHEREAS following an evidentiary hearing, the Court entered a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunctive relief against the NCAA enjoining enforcement of the Transfer Eligibility Rule and the Rule of Restitution. Dkt. 39, 63.
- 16. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that absent permanent injunctive relief, Division I student-athletes will continue to suffer irreparable harm from the Transfer Eligibility Rule, whether by missing games that cannot be replayed, failing to secure NIL deals or professional opportunities that would otherwise be available, or foregoing transfer decisions they would otherwise pursue.
- 17. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the balance of the equities favors issuing a permanent injunction, and issuance of a permanent injunction is in the public interest.
- 18. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States and the NCAA have agreed to resolve this matter by entry of this Consent Judgment.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 19. The foregoing recitals are incorporated and made a part of this Consent Judgment.
- 20. The NCAA shall take all steps necessary to comply with this Consent Judgment.
- 21. This Consent Judgment resolves only Plaintiff States' claims with respect to the Transfer Eligibility Rule as applied to Division I student- athletes and does not affect other Bylaws or claims not made in this action. For the avoidance of doubt, this Final Judgment does not apply to any Bylaws of NCAA Division II or NCAA Division III nor does it resolve any antitrust claims regarding those rules.
- 22. The NCAA and any person or organization acting in concert with it (including but not limited to its officers, employees, staff, member institutions, councils, and committees), are permanently restrained and enjoined from:
  - a. enforcing the Transfer Eligibility Rule, NCAA Bylaw 14.5.5.1, or any substantially similar rule requiring a Division I student-athlete to maintain a period of residence or otherwise refrain from competition solely because of a transfer between NCAA member institutions;
  - b. enforcing the Rule of Restitution, NCAA Bylaw 12.11.4.2, on any Division I member institution or student-athlete related to a studentathlete's participation in intercollegiate competition following a transfer in reliance on this Court's temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction or this Consent Judgment;
  - c. taking any other action to retaliate against a Division I member institution for conduct related to the Transfer Eligibility Rule,

including but not limited to (i) supporting a student-athlete who challenged the Transfer Eligibility Rule or (ii) permitting a student-athlete to compete during the period of this Court's temporary restraining order or its preliminary injunction in reliance on those orders; and

- d. taking any action to retaliate against any Division I student-athlete that transferred NCAA member institutions, including but not limited to those student-athletes who (i) challenged the Transfer Eligibility Rule, (ii) sought a waiver from the Transfer Eligibility Rule, or (iii) competed during the period of this Court's temporary restraining order or its preliminary injunction in reliance on those orders.
- 23. The NCAA shall provide an additional year of eligibility to any Division I student-athlete who was deemed ineligible to compete for a season or any portion of a season of competition occurring during or since the 2019-20 academic year because of the Transfer Eligibility Rule provided the student-athlete:
  - a. transferred between two member institutions more than once;
  - b. is currently enrolled at a Division I member institution; and
  - c. is currently eligible to compete, or their eligibility expired at the end of a season of competition completed during the 2023-24 academic year.

For the avoidance of doubt, a Division I student-athlete described in this provision shall have no fewer than six calendar years to complete their four seasons of

intercollegiate competition in any one sport (see NCAA Bylaw 12.8), instead of the five calendar years set forth under NCAA Bylaw 12.8.1. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the NCAA shall post a copy of this Consent Judgment on its public web site.

- 24. The NCAA shall not take any action, nor adopt any rules, by-laws or policies that have the effect of undermining or circumventing the provisions of this Consent Judgment.
- 25. The NCAA shall pay the Plaintiff States their reasonable fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action in an amount previously agreed upon by the parties.
- 26. The Court will retain jurisdiction for purposes of enforcing this Consent Judgment and resolving any dispute that may arise under it.

| SO ORDERED this day | of                                |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                     |                                   |
|                     |                                   |
|                     | The Honorable John Preston Bailey |
|                     | United States District Judge      |

# SO AGREED:

Date: May 30, 2024

# <u>/s/ Derek M. Whiddon</u>

Derek M. Whiddon Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section Office of the Ohio Attorney General FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF OHIO

# /s/ Bryn Williams

Bryn Williams
First Assistant Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
Office of the Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO

## /s/ Adam Gitlin

Adam Gitlin Chief, Antitrust and Nonprofit Enforcement Section Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia FOR PLAINTIFF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

## /s/ Elizabeth L. Maxeiner

Elizabeth L. Maxeiner Chief, Antitrust Bureau Office of the Illinois Attorney General FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS

# /s/ Elizabeth Odette

Elizabeth Odette Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division Office of the Minnesota Attorney General FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MINNESOTA

# /s/ Caleb Pracht

Caleb Pracht

Special Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division Mississippi Office of the Attorney General FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

# /s/ Elinor R. Hoffmann

Elinor R. Hoffmann

Chief, Antitrust Bureau

New York State Office of the Attorney General

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW YORK

## /s/ Jonathan R. Marx

Jonathan R. Marx

Special Deputy Attorney General

North Carolina Department of Justice

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

#### /s/ J. David McDowell

J. David McDowell

Deputy, Consumer Protection Division

Office of the Attorney General and Reporter

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TENNESSEE

# /s/ Tyler T. Henry

Tyler T. Henry

Senior Assistant Attorney General and Manager

Antitrust Unit

Office of the Virginia Attorney General

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

#### /s/ Douglas L. Davis

Douglas L. Davis

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Office of the West Virginia Attorney General

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA AND AS LOCAL COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF STATES

## /s/ Phillip D. Bartz

Philip D. Bartz

Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC

ASSOCIATION

# /s/ Benjamin L. Bailey

Benjamin L. Bailey

Bailey & Glasser LLP

LOCAL COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION